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## Kingwood Area Mobility Study

Lake Houston Redevelopment Authority (TIRZ \#10)

Steering Committee Meeting \# 4 Date: September 23, 2014

## Introduction

- Introduction
-Remarks by Stan Sarman/Council Member Dave Martin


## Recap



## Update

- E-mails
- Survey
- Improvement Options and Analysis
- This information will be posted on the website


## GOALS \& MOE'S-Recap

## GOALS

- Obtain community input
- Improve mobility - short and long term
- Maintain same or better quality of life
- Identify funding sources
- Educate public regarding funding sources
- Plan for future
- Safety
- Possible transit for aging population
- Pedestrian facilities as part of Street Improvements
- Public transportation
- Trolley system - not typical METRO bus
- Quick fixes


## MOE'S

- Less congestion
- Decrease delay/travel time
- Pedestrian safety/bicycle safety
- Vehicular safety
- Cost effectiveness
- Schedule
- Regulatory impacts
- Environmental impacts including Tree Impacts


## New Developments

- Known Developments
- Kings Creek Mixed Use - 2014 Opening Year
- Kingwood Parc Medical Office - 2015 Opening Year
- Watercrest Kingwood Senior Apartments - 2015 Opening Year
- Kings Crossings Retail - 2017 Opening Year
- Royal Brook Residential - 2018 Opening Year
- Woodridge Forest Development - 2018 Opening Year
- Riverpoint Village - 2018 Opening Year
- New Caney Middle School - 2014 Opening Year
- Background Growth Rate of 2\% per year up to 2020
- Approximately 4,000 trip-ends during peak hour due to these additional developments


## Planned/Funded/Scheduled Roadway and Other Infrastructure Improvements

Planned Improvements \& Known Development
Lake Houston/Kingwood Area Mobility Study


## Public Input - E-mails and Survey

- 111 E-mail Comments as of September 17, 2014
- 30 E-mail comments since our last Steering Committee Meeting \# 3
- Still receiving e-mails
- 1,075 surveys
- Survey closed on June 30, 2014


## Suggested Improvements by Citizens and Feasibility

- Reversible lanes on Kingwood Drive Not Feasible
- Woodland Hills Connection to Hamblen Analyzed
- Innovative Improvements such as roundabouts, diverging diamonds, and All-way stops Not Feasible
- Other intersection Improvements including signal timing improvements Analyzed
- Maintain green band for peak directions by eliminating off-peak left-turns Analyzed
- Direct Connector from Kingwood Drive to US 59 Analyzed
- Widen both Kingwood Drive and Northpark Drive Analyzed


## Suggested Improvements by Citizens and Feasibility

- Coordinate with Union Pacific on Rail Road timings and restrict rail timings during peak hours Coordinated
- Widen Hamblen Road to 4-Lanes Analyzed
- Connection to Huffman to the east Not Feasible
- Woodland Hills Connection through FM 1960 and ultimately to BW 8 Not Feasible


## Survey Discussion

Question 1: Which Category best describes your interest?


## Question 2

Q2: Which locations or intersections in Kingwood do you think have the most traffic issues?


## Question 3

What transportation-related issues in the Kingwood area concern you the most?


## Question 3

INTERSECTIONS


ROADS


## Question 4 to 9

## Walkino and Bikinc

Q4 Other than for recreation, do you or your employees/students walk to work/school in Kingwood?

Answerad: 1.020 Skipped: 55


Q7 Other than for recreation, do you or your employees/students bicycle to work/school in Kingwood?

Answared: 1,016 3 kipped: 58


| Answer Choices | Responses |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Always | $4.53 \%$ | 46 |
| Never | $69.29 \%$ | 704 |
| Occasionally | $26.18 \%$ | 266 |
| Total |  | 1.016 |

## Question 10

Q. 10 What do you think should be done to roadways in the Kingwood area to improve mobility?


## Question 11

Are there any traffic-related safety concerns in Kingwnod? Do you have any suggestions for these issues?


## Question 12

## Q12 Are you willing to sacrifice trees for relief of traffic congestion? Please select one:



| Answer Choices | Responses |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I am willing to sacrifice trees along major thoroughfares for travel time savings | $24.12 \%$ | 255 |
| I am willing to sacrifice $25 \%$ of trees along major thoroughfares for a travel time savings of 20 minutes every day. | $\mathbf{8 . 8 0 \%}$ | 93 |
| I am willing to sacrifice $10 \%$ of trees along major thoroughfares for a travel time savings of 10 minutes every day. | $\mathbf{7 . 3 8 \%}$ | 78 |
| I am willing to sacrifice some trees as long as an equal number of trees are planted elsewhere (reforestation) while <br> achieving travel time savings of 10 minutes every day. | $22.33 \%$ |  |
| I do not want to sacrifice a single tree, even if it means travel times continue to increase. | 236 |  |
| Other (please specify) | $\mathbf{2 2 . 9 9 \%}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 4 . 3}$ |  |

## Concerns by Location/Intersection



## Travel Time Data

## Field Collected Travel Times

AM Peak (Westbound)
On Kingwood Drive from High Valley to US 59 SB Frontage Road = 16.8 Min PM Peak (Eastbound)
On Kingwood Drive from US 59 SB Frontage Road to High Valley = 18.4 Min Synchro Model

AM Peak (Westbound)
On Kingwood Drive from High Valley to US 59 SB Frontage Road = 17.7 Min PM Peak (Eastbound)

On Kingwood Drive from US 59 SB Frontage Road to High Valley = 20.3 Min

## Speed Data

|  | Kingwood High School (Westbound) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Period | Total | $<25 \mathrm{mph}$ | $26-35 \mathrm{mph}$ | 36 to 45 mph | $>45 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| 6:30 AM to 7:45 <br> AM | 1,739 | 446 | 433 | 583 | 277 |
| 2:30 PM to 3:15 <br> PM | 1,503 | 242 | 406 | 572 | 283 |
| School Zone <br> Flasher Timings |  | $6: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ to 7:40 AM and 2:30 PM to 3:15 PM |  |  |  |
| Posted Speed <br> 85th Percentile <br> Speed | 40 mph ; School Zone Speed $=25 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |  |  |


|  | Kingwood High School (Eastbound) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Period | Total | $<25 \mathrm{mph}$ | $26-35 \mathrm{mph}$ | 36 to 45 mph | $>45 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| 6:30 AM to 7:45 <br> AM | 973 | 348 | 532 | 91 | 2 |
| 2:30 PM to 3:15 <br> PM | 893 | 379 | 466 | 47 | 1 |
| School Zone <br> Flasher Timings |  | $6: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ to 7:40 AM and 2:30 PM to 3:15 PM |  |  |  |
| Posted Speed | 45 mph ; School Zone Speed $=25 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 85th Percentile <br> Speed |  | 35 mph (DAILY BASIS) |  |  |  |

## Speed Data

| Creekwood Middle School (Southbound) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Period | Total | <20 mph | 20-30 mph | 30 to 45 mph | >45 mph |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 7:45 AM to 9:00 } \\ \text { AM } \end{gathered}$ | 1,157 | 205 | 726 | 211 | 15 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 3:30 PM to 4:30 } \\ \text { PM } \end{gathered}$ | 1,233 | 197 | 522 | 493 | 21 |
| School Zone Flasher Timings | 7:50 AM to 8:50 AM and 3:40 PM to 4:25 PM |  |  |  |  |
| Posted Speed | 45 mph ; School Zone Speed $=20 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 44.1 mph (DAILY BASIS) |  |  |  |  |
| Creekwood Middle School (Northbound) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Period | Total | <20 mph | 20-30 mph | 30 to 45 mph | >45 mph |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 7:45 AM to 9:00 } \\ \text { AM } \end{gathered}$ | 1,353 | 373 | 541 | 439 | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 3:30 PM to 4:30 } \\ \text { PM } \end{gathered}$ | 1,277 | 519 | 353 | 394 | 11 |
| School Zone Flasher Timings | 7:50 AM to 8:50 AM and 3:40 PM to 4:25 PM |  |  |  |  |
| Posted Speed | 45 mph ; School Zone Speed $=20 \mathrm{mph}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 85th Percentile Speed | 40.3 mph (DAILY BASIS) |  |  |  |  |

## 311 Calls - Signal Repair

Kingwood Drive 311 Calls - Jan to May 2014


Northpark Drive 311 Calls - Jan To May 2014


## COH MTFP Thresholds

- City of Houston MTFP volume thresholds
-2-Lanes $=14,000$ to 16,000 vehicles/day
-4-Lanes = 30,000 to 33,000 vehicles/day
-6-Lanes $=40,000$ to 45,000 vehicles/day
- Kingwood Drive from US 59 to Woodland Hills DriveExceeded the threshold (Current Data=37K to 41K per day)
- Northpark Drive from US 59 to Woodland Hills DriveExceeded the threshold (Current Data=35K per day)
- West Lake Houston Parkway from Kingwood Drive to Bridge (south) - (Current Data=31K/day)


## Improvement Alternatives

1. Intersection Improvements
2. Left-Turn Prohibition in Off-Peak Direction
A. 6-Lane Kingwood Drive only
B. 6-Lane Northpark Drive only
C. Direct Connector from Kingwood Drive to US 59 only
D. Direct Connector from Northpark Drive to US 59 only
E. 6-Lane Kingwood Drive with direct connector from Kingwood Drive to US 59
F. 6-Lane Northpark Drive with direct connector from Northpark Drive to US 59
G. 6-Lane Kingwood Drive, 6-Lane Northpark Drive, Direct Connector from Kingwood Drive to US 59, and Direct Connector from Northpark Drive to US 59
H. Woodland Hills Drive Extension to Hamblen Road
I. Widening of Kingwood Drive and Northpark Drive
J. Underpass on Kingwood Drive @ Loop 494/Rail Road
K. Underpass on Northpark Drive @ Loop 494/Rail Road

## Option 1: Intersection Improvements

- Traffic Signal Timing Coordination
- New Traffic Signal at Northpark Drive \& Hidden Pines/Woodridge Parkway
- EBR at Northpark Drive \& Hidden Pines
- EBR at Northpark Drive \& West Lake Houston Parkway
- NBR at West Lake Houston Parkway \& Kings Crossings Drive
- NBR at Kingwood Drive \& Sorters Road
- EBR and WBR at Kingwood Drive \& Loop 494
- NBR at Kingwood Drive \& Royal Forest Drive
- EBR at Kingwood Drive \& Green Oak Drive


## Option 1: Intersection Improvements

- EBR at Kingwood Drive \& Trailwood Village Drive
- NBR \& SBR at Kingwood Drive \& Chestnut Ridge Road
- EBR, WBR, EBL, WBL at Kingwood Drive \& Woodland Hills Drive
- EBR at Kingwood Drive \& Willow Terrace
- EBL at Hamblen Road \& Forest Cove Drive
- Widening of Mills Branch Road from North of Kingwood Drive to Royal Brook Residential (New Development), north of Northpark Drive


## Option 1: Intersection Improvements

Lake Houston/Kingwood Area Mobility Study
Intersection Improvements


## Option 1: Intersection Improvements

- Total Delay (in 2014):
- Before: $\quad 1,176$ Hours (AM); 1,963 Hours (PM)
- After: $\quad 988$ Hours (AM); 1,552 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 16\% (AM); 21\% (PM)
- Total Delay (in 2020):
- Before: 1,689 Hours (AM); 2,849 Hours (PM)
- After: $\quad 1,302$ Hours (AM); 2,131 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 23\% (AM); 25\% (PM)
- Cost of Improvements = \$16.35 Million
- Crash Reduction = 52.50\%
- Tree Impacts = < 10\%
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2014) = 10
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2020) = 20



## Option 2: Left Turn Prohibition in Off-Peak

- On Kingwood Drive Only
- At 12 locations on Kingwood Drive, additional left-turns and acceleration lanes are required for restricted left-turns to turn around.
- Total Delay (in 2014):
- Before: 1,176 Hours (AM); 1,963 Hours (PM)
- After: 1,032 Hours (AM); 1,700 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 12\% (AM); 13\% (PM)
- Total Delay (in 2020):
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2014) = 10
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2020) $=20$
- Before: 1,689 Hours (AM); 2,849 Hours (PM)
- After: 1,560 Hours (AM); 2,596 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 7.5\% (AM); 9\% (PM)
- Cost = \$6.4 Million
- Tree Impacts = <10\%
- Crash Reduction = 30\%


## Alternative A

Lake Houston/Kingwood Area Mobility Study


## Alternative A

- Total Delay (in 2020):
- Before: $\quad 1,689$ Hours (AM); 2,849 Hours (PM)
- After: $\quad 1,081$ Hours (AM); 1,845 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 36\% (AM); 35\% (PM)
- Cost of Improvements = \$31.3 Million
- Crash Reduction = 60\%
- Tree Impacts = < 10\%
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F = 9
- Pros: Reduces Travel Time, Congestion, Already funded
- Cons: Some tree impacts


## Alternative B

Lake Houston/Kingwood Area Mobility Study
Alternative B: North Park Six Lanes (US 59 to Woodland Hills)


## Alternative B

- Total Delay (in 2020):
- Before: $\quad 1,689$ Hours (AM); 2,849 Hours (PM)
- After: 1,146 Hours (AM); 1,895 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 32\% (AM); 34\% (PM)
- Cost of Improvements = \$27.1 Million
- Crash Reduction = 30\%
- Tree Impacts = < 10\%
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2020) = 12
- Pros: Reduces Travel Time and Congestion
- Cons: Some tree impacts, multiple agency coordination, Montgomery County Roadway, Funding not readily available


## Alternative C

Lake Houston/Kingwood Area Mobility Study
Alternative C: Kingwood Drive Direct Connector (Kingwood Drive to US 59 Southbound)


## Alternative C

- Total Delay (in 2020):
- Before: 1,689 Hours (AM); 2,849 Hours (PM)
- After: $\quad 1,432$ Hours (AM); 2,265 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 15\% (AM); 21\% (PM)
- Cost of the Improvement: $\$ 50.72$ Million
- Tree Impacts: <10\%
- Crash Reduction = 15\%
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2020) = 15
- Pros: Reduces Travel Time, Congestion, by eliminating turning movements at LP 494 and US 59
- Cons: Some tree impacts, High Cost, Aesthetics, Environmental Clearances


## Alternative D

Lake Houston/Kingwood Area Mobility Study
Alternative D: North Park Drive Direct Connector (North Park Drive to US 59 Southbound)


## Alternative D

- Total Delay (in 2020):
- Before: 1,689 Hours (AM); 2,849 Hours (PM)
- After: 1,536 Hours (AM); 2,274 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 9\% (AM); 20\% (PM)
- Cost of the Improvement: $\$ 50.52$ Million
- Tree Impacts: <10\%
- Crash Reduction: 15\%
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2020) = 12
- Pros: Reduces Travel Time, Congestion at Loop 494 near rail road
- Cons: Some tree impacts, High Cost, Aesthetics, Environmental Clearances


## Alternative E

Lake Houston/Kingwood Area Mobility Study


## Alternative E

- Total Delay (in 2020):
- Before: 1,689 Hours (AM); 2,849 Hours (PM)
- After: $\quad 1,043$ Hours (AM); 1,816 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 38\% (AM); 36\% (PM)
- Cost of the Improvement: $\$ 82$ Million
- Tree Impacts: <10\%
- Crash Reduction: 60\%
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2020) = 6
- Pros: Reduces Travel Time and Congestion, Already funded for widening
- Cons: Some tree impacts, High Cost, Aesthetics, TxDOT coordination, Environmental Clearances for over pass


## Alternative F

Lake Houston/Kingwood Area Mobility Study
Alternative F: North Park Drive Six Lane + North Park Drive Direct Connector


## Alternative F

- Total Delay (in 2020):
- Before: 1,689 Hours (AM); 2,849 Hours (PM)
- After: $\quad 1,010$ Hours (AM); 1,816 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: $40 \%$ (AM); 36\% (PM)
- Cost of the Improvement: \$77.6 Million
- Tree Impacts: <10\%
- Crash Reduction: 30\%
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2020) = 8
- Pros: Reduces Travel Time, Congestion
- Cons: Some tree impacts, High Cost, Aesthetics, TxDOT coordination, Environmental Clearances for over pass, multiple agency coordination, Montgomery County Road


## Alternative G

Lake Houston/Kingwood Area Mobility Study


## Alternative G

- Total Delay (in 2020):
- Before: 1,689 Hours (AM); 2,849 Hours (PM)
- After: 795 Hours (AM); 1,690 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 53\% (AM); 41\% (PM)
- Cost of the Improvement: \$159.64 Million
- Tree Impacts: <10\%
- Crash Reduction: 70\%
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2020) $=0$
- Pros: Reduces Travel Time, Congestion, Already funded for widening
- Cons: Some tree impacts, High Cost, Aesthetics, TxDOT coordination, Environmental Clearances for over pass, Cost Prohibitive, Multiple Agency Coordination


## Alternative H

Lake Houston/Kingwood Area Mobility Study


## Alternative H

- Total Delay (in 2020):
- Before: 1,689 Hours (AM); 2,849 Hours (PM)
- After: 795 Hours (AM); 1,690 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 53\% (AM); 41\% (PM)
- Cost of the Improvement: $\$ 45.10$ Million
- Tree Impacts: <30\%
- Crash Reduction: 11\%
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2020) = 9
- Pros: Reduces Travel Time and Congestion on Kingwood Drive, Provides a reliable alternative route for the area
- Cons: Significant tree impacts, not funded, ROW, environmental clearances


## Alternative I

Lake Houston/Kingwood Area Mobility Study
Alternative I: Kingwood Six Lanes (US 59 to Woodland Hills) + North Park Six Lanes (US 59 to Woodland Hills


## Alternative I

- Total Delay (in 2020):
- Before: 1,689 Hours (AM); 2,849 Hours (PM)
- After: $\quad 951$ Hours (AM); 1,759 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 44\% (AM); 38\% (PM)
- Cost of the Improvement: \$58.4 Million
- Tree Impacts: <10\%
- Crash Reduction: 70\%
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2020) = 3
- Pros: Reduces Travel Time and Congestion, Partly funded
- Cons: More tree impacts, multiple agency coordination, need to identify funding for Northpark Road


## Alternative J

Lake Houston/Kingwood Area Mobility Study


## Alternative J

- Total Delay (in 2020):
- Before: 1,689 Hours (AM); 2,849 Hours (PM)
- After: $\quad 1,467$ Hours (AM); 2,282 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 13\% (AM); 20\% (PM)
- Cost of the Improvement: TBD
- Tree Impacts: <10\%
- Crash Reduction: 7.5\%
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2020) = 8
- Pros: Reduces Travel Time and congestion at Loop 494 near Railroad
- Cons: Some tree impacts, all trees between US 59 \& Loop 494, TXDOT and UP Rail Road Coordination, Impact to Retail Driveway at Royal Forest Drive


## Alternative K

Lake Houston/Kingwood Area Mobility Study
Alternative K: North Park Drive Underpass


## Alternative K

- Total Delay (in 2020):
- Before: 1,689 Hours (AM); 2,849 Hours (PM)
- After: $\quad 1,554$ Hours (AM); 2,288 Hours (PM)
- Reduction: 8\% (AM); 20\% (PM)
- Cost of the Improvement: TBD
- Tree Impacts: <10\%
- Crash Reduction: 7.5\%
- Number of Intersections at LOS E/F with Improvements (2020) = 12
- Pros: Reduces Travel Time and congestion at Loop 494 near Railroad
- Cons: Some tree impacts, all trees between US 59 \& Loop 494, TXDOT and UP Rail Road Coordination.


## Scoring Criteria

- Scoring MOE's
- Scoring Goals
-Weighting Factors

| Improvements/Goals | Community Input | Improve Mobility (ShortTerm \& Long-Term) | Maintain <br> Same or Better Quality of Life | Identify <br> Funding <br> Sources | Safety | Transit | PedestrianFacilities | Total Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Plan for Future Quick Fixes |  |  |  | Public Transportation <br> Trolley System |  |  |
| Category Code | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | A to G |
| Weighting Factor | 0 | 30 | 25 | 5 | 30 | 5 | 5 | 100 |

## Hands on Exercise

- Review each improvement Option and assign score for each option
- Based on the weighting factors, the score will be calculated
- Higher the score, better the option


## Next Steps

- Stakeholder Meeting \#2 - October 14, 2014 @ 5:30 PM
- Open House Format with Exhibits at Stations
- Next Steering Committee Meeting Date: November 18, 2014 @ 6:00 PM
- Survey Summary and data will be posted on the website http://gundacorp.com/kingwood-mobility/


## Questions?



